

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

-.-

RSA 316 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision 01.10.2018

Golo Kaur

....Appellant

vs

Surjit Singh and others

.....Respondents

Coram: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. G S Sidhu, Advocate
for the appellant

Mr. Abhimanyu Batra, Advocate
for respondents No. 1, 2, 4 and 5

-.-

REKHA MITTAL. J (Oral)

Counsel for the appellant would state that as respondent No. 3 is a pro forma respondent, his service may be dispensed with.

Ordered accordingly.

Counsel for the appellant would further state that as the decree passed in favour of respondents No. 1 and 2 stands satisfied as payment has been made by Charanjit Singh - respondent No. 3 to respondents No. 1, 2, 4 and 5, the appeal may be disposed of and Court fee paid by the appellant may be refunded.

Counsel for respondents No. 1, 2, 4 and 5 has conceded to this position.

The appellant cannot seek refund of Court fee without making reference to any relevant provision of law. However, in view of statement made by counsel for the appellant, the appeal stands disposed of.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

01.10.2018
mohan bimbra