

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No. 375 of 2018 (O&M) in
CWP No. 19512 of 2017
Date of decision: 14.3.2018

Balwan Singh

.. Appellant

v.

Union of India and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate for the appellant.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

The order passed by the learned Single Judge only to the extent whereby cost of ₹ 1,00,000/- has been imposed for making mis-statement at the time of notice of motion, has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the mistake was inadvertent as even in the writ petition, the pleadings were not correct.

The present appeal has been filed for mercy as regards the amount of cost imposed. Though the statement made by learned counsel for the appellant, on which notice of motion was issued in the writ petition, shows that wrong facts were stated, however, taking a lenient view, the

amount of cost is reduced from ₹ 1,00,000/- to ₹ 50,000/-. Rest of the order

will remain as such.

The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(B. S. Walia)
Judge

14.3.2018
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No