

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No.363 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.05.2018**

Rajiv Kumar

... Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA**

Present:- Mr. Kuljit Singh, Advocate,
for the appellant.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, ACJ.(ORAL)

The challenge in this Letters Patent Appeal filed under Clause X of the Letters Patent Act is to the order dated 09.01.2018, passed in Civil Miscellaneous Application No.18690 of 2017 filed in CWP No.1752 of 2013 by the learned Single Judge.

2. It was not disputed by learned counsel for the appellant that learned Single Judge had granted liberty to the petitioners/appellant herein to approach the Chandigarh Administration as well as State of Punjab to make necessary rectification of Pay Revision Rules, 2011.

3. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the appellant states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present appeal with liberty to approach the Chandigarh Administration as well as State of Punjab by filing the replication and to take recourse to the alternative remedies, for redressal of his grievance

as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the appellant to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him in accordance with law and as per liberty granted by learned Single Judge.

5. All pending applications, if any, shall also stand dismissed as withdrawn.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

11.05.2018
vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No