

(125)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No.305 of 2018 (O&M)
in CWP No.3310 of 2018
Date of decision: 26.02.2018

Ranjit Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present: Mr. Baldev S. Sidhu, Advocate for the appellant.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Aggrieved against the order dated 14.02.2018 passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition with the observation that as and when the inquiry is initiated by the Vigilance Department, the appellant shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy, the present intra-court appeal has been filed.

The appellant approached this Court with grievance that despite there being instructions issued by the State on 02.01.1995 that no inquiry would be conducted by the Vigilance Department against the Sarpanches/Panches, still the Vigilance Department initiated inquiry against the appellant. The fact is not in dispute that the appellant never approached the Department concerned which had issued the instructions to point out that those were being violated.

Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that he may be permitted to withdraw the present appeal with liberty to approach the Department concerned pointing out violation of the instructions issued by it, in case any inquiry is initiated against the appellant.

Ordered accordingly.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

26.02.2018
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

- | | | | |
|----|---------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. | Whether reportable | : | Yes/No. |