

Sr.No.131

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA CHANDIGARH

LPA NO.263 OF 2018(O&M)

Date of Decision: 23.03.2018

Jarnail Singh

.....Appellant

versus

Financial Commissioner Revenue, Punjab and others .....Respondents

**CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT**

Present Mr.G.S. Nagra, Advocate for the appellant.  
\*\*\*

**MAHESH GROVER, J(ORAL)**

We do not find any infirmity in the finding of the learned Single Judge dated 09.10.2017 which has upheld the order of dismissal of the appellant as Lambardar considering his fraudulent role in identifying a witness to sale. One of the more useful functions of the Lambardar is to identify the witnesses or the parties to a transaction. If the argument of the appellant is accepted that he was ignorant of the person he was identifying, it only make matters worse and offers no redemption.

No ground to interfere in the impugned order is made out.

Dismissed.

**(MAHESH GROVER)  
JUDGE**

23.03.2018  
mamta

**(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No  
Yes/No**