

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.862 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No.26096 of 2016
Date of Decision:- 14.03.2018.

Barinder Pal Singh

...Appellant

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA**

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Order passed by the learned Single Judge, dismissing the writ petition, has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

2. Challenge in the writ petition filed in the year 2016 is to the advertisement issued for the post of PTI on 23.10.2006, meaning thereby, the writ petition was filed 10 years thereafter. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that in the year 2012, the respondents had called persons with higher qualification for counselling. However, the appellant was not called. Even if that is considered, the writ petition was filed more than 4 years thereafter, hence, still highly belated. In fact, the cause of action had arisen to the appellant only when the advertisement was issued in the year 2006, if he was disputing his higher qualification as one of the factors for his non-consideration for the post, but he kept quiet.

LPA No.862 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No.26096 of 2016

/2/

3. In view of aforesaid facts, we do not find any error in the order, passed by the learned Single Judge. The appeal is dismissed accordingly.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

14.03.2018.
rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking /reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes / No