

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102

**RSA No.1194 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 09.07.2018**

Jang Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

Rachhpal Singh

..... Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present :- Mr. K.B.Raheja, Advocate
for the appellant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This appeal has been filed against the concurrent judgments of the Courts below decreeing a suit for recovery filed by the respondent on the basis of pronote and receipt.

Both the Courts below held that the pronote and receipt was duly signed by the appellant. The appellate Court also found that there was an endorsement on the receipt in the hand writing of the appellant that he had received Rs.5,50,000/-.

It is in these circumstances that the borrower is before me.

Learned counsel has argued that it was the case of the appellant that the father of the respondent was running a commission agency and the appellant had dealings with him and during that time he may have obtained the signatures of the appellant on blank paper. This stand obviously can

not be accepted in the face of the finding of the appellate Court that there is an endorsement in the hand writing of the appellant about having received the loan.

Learned counsel has argued that the hand writing expert only proved the signatures of the appellant but not the hand writing. He has shown to me a photocopy of that document and I am not in a position to over turn the finding of the lower Court that it appears to be the hand writing of the appellant.

Appeal stands dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

09.07.2018
Pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No