

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1512-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 30.11.2018

Mohd. Dilshad

...Appellant

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., Patiala and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. Jaspal Singh Maanipur, Advocate,
Ms. Sonam, Advocate,
for the appellant.

* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

This intra court appeal under Clause X of the Letters Patent is directed against the judgement and order dated 17.07.2018 passed by learned Single Judge dismissing the petition filed by the petitioner (appellant herein) seeking compassionate appointment.

2. Admittedly, the father of the appellant, who was working as Assistant Lineman with the respondent-Corporation, died in harness in the year 1994. The appellant was minor at that time and none from the family laid claim to the compassionate appointment under the policy which was operative in the year 1994. The appellant after attaining maturity in 2007 made an application seeking appointment on compassionate grounds.

3. Keeping in view the fact that claim of the appellant was made after about 13 years during which period admittedly the appellant survived and in view of various pronouncements by

the Hon’ble Apex Court in catena of judgements, no case for compassionate appointment is made out. Even otherwise, the learned Single Judge has directed payment of ex-gratia in lieu of the compassionate appointment alongwith interest at the rate of 7.5% per annum to the appellant and other legal representatives which adjusts the equities on both sides.

4. In view of the above, we do not find any illegality committed by learned Single Judge in dismissing the claim of the appellant for compassionate appointment.

5. The appeal being devoid of merits stands dismissed.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

30.11.2018
Anodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No