

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RFA No.7277 of 2014 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:25.05.2018.**

Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation Ltd., now Haryana  
State Industrial & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.

.... Appellant(s).

Versus

Smt. Lalita and others.

....Respondent(s).

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA.**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate for the HSIIDC.

Mr. Shailendra Jain, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Satyendra Chauhan, Advocate,  
Mr. Shakti Kaushik, Advocate for  
Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate,  
Mr. Pradeep Chhoker, Advocate for  
Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate,  
Mr. Virendra Rana, Advocate,  
Mr. Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate,  
Mr. Sushil K. Sharma, Advocate for  
Mr. M.L. Sharma, Advocate,  
Mr. Amit Rohilla, Advocate for  
Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate  
Mr. Prikshit Yadav, Advocate for  
Mr. Jaivir Yadav, Advocate,  
Mr. Satish Singla, Advocate for  
Mr. Vishal Garg, Advocate,  
Mr. Abhimanyu Kalsi, Advocate for  
Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate and  
Mr. Sanjay Vij, Advocate  
for the land owners/ cross objectors.

Mr. Sudeep Mahajan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana,  
for State as well as for HSIIDC

Ms. Safia Gupta, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J.**

**CM No.11303-CI of 2014**

Application under Order 1 rule 10 of the Code of Civil

Procedure has been filed by the applicant HSIIDC seeking leave of the Court to file the present appeal on the ground that the applicant Corporation was not impleaded as party before the Reference Court and the land acquired vests with the applicant and it is the applicant-Corporation who has to make payment of the compensation to the land owners, in view of the notification dated 6.2.2012.

In view of the averments made duly supported by the affidavit of official of the applicant- Corporation, the application is allowed and applicant- Corporation is granted leave to institute and maintain the appeal.

CM stands disposed of.

**Main case**

The appeal is allowed, in view of the detailed judgment of even date passed in **RFA No.3381 of 2013 'HSIDC Now HSIIDC Vs. Roshan Lal and others'**. The relevant part of the judgment reads as under:-

*“79. Resultantly, the appeals of the HSIIDC are allowed, whereas the appeals of the landowners for further enhancement and cross-objections for enhancement which are filed are dismissed and the awards passed by the Reference Courts are, accordingly, modified.*

*(i) The market value of the land falling in two villages, namely, Naurangpur and Lakhnoula is assessed @ Rs.48,46,000/- per acre alongwith all statutory benefits, on 17.09.2004.*

*(ii) For the land falling in villages Nawada Fatehpur, Naharpur Kasan and Shikhopur, the market value is fixed @ Rs.43,61,400/- per acre alongwith all statutory benefits, on 17.09.2004.*

(iii) *The directions of the Apex Court in the case of **Pran Sukh (supra)** will also be adhered to while disbursing the balance amount of compensation.*

(iv) *Where appeals have been filed by the land owners which were beyond period of limitation and applications have been filed for condoning the delay with a condition that the land owners will not be entitled for the interest during the said period, the Executing Court shall ensure that the amounts are calculated and disbursed, keeping in the view the said condition which has been passed in the case of each individual land owner.*

**May 25, 2018**  
raman

**(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |