

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No. 59 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No. 13403 of 2016
Date of decision: 26.2.2018

Lachman Singh

.. Appellant

v.

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Jatinder Singla, Advocate for the appellant.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

The order passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition has been challenged by filing the present intra-court appeal.

The issue raised by the appellant before the learned Single Judge was regarding appointment of respondent No. 5 as Lambardar. The claim made by the appellant that he is son of late Joginder Singh, who was earlier Lambardar. The appellant used to work with his father in discharge of duties as Lambardar. As he had the experience, he should have been preferred.

As against that, respondent No. 5 has been appointed, who is younger in age and 12th pass, whereas the appellant is 60 years of age and is illiterate.

Considering the aforesaid facts, we do not find any error in the order passed by the learned Single Judge.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed. Consequently, the application for condonation of delay in re-filing the appeal is also dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(B. S. Walia)
Judge

26.2.2018
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No