

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No.1286 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision :21.08.2018**

Ram Narayan

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present : Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate for the appellant.

KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral):

This *intra court* appeal, under clause X of the letters patent, has been filed by the appellant-petitioner challenging the order of the learned Single Judge dated 17.07.2018, dismissing his writ petition seeking a prayer to allow him to participate in the interview process under the BC(A) Category for the post of Heavy Vehicle Driver.

The aforesaid relief was being claimed in the background of the facts that in pursuance of the advertisement issued by the State of Haryana for recruitment of Heavy Vehicle Driver appellant-petitioner was one of the applicant. He cleared the written test but was not permitted to appear and participate in the interview process after scrutiny of the documents. It is an admitted case that the interview took place in the month of February 2018, and thereafter he approached this Court by filing the writ petition. Although, there appears to be an issue with respect to the validity of the

licence issued to the appellant-petitioner but we are not entering into the question at this moment. Suffice it to say, that the petitioner lacked three years' requisite experience of driving heavy transport vehicle required by the advertisement. Learned counsel for the appellant-petitioner drew our attention to Annexure P-5, which is a certificate said to have been issued by Vijay Logistics Pvt. Ltd, wherein it is certified that he had worked with their organization as a driver from August 2003 to October 2009. The aforesaid experience certificate upon which reliance is being placed by learned counsel for the appellant-petitioner nowhere specifies that while in employment he was driving heavy transport vehicle. Unless the appellant-petitioner has requisite three years' experience of driving heavy transport vehicle he was not qualified and no exception can be taken to the action of the respondents in not permitting him to participate in the interview process. The dismissal of the writ petition by the learned Single Judge, for the aforesaid fact, also does not warrant any interference by us. The appeal accordingly failed and stands dismissed.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

21.08.2018

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No