

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No. 369 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No. 14713 of 2016
Date of decision: 12.3.2018

Harminder Singh

.. Appellant

v.

The Financial Commissioner and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Ramneek Vasudeva, Advocate for the appellant.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

The order passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

The subject-matter in dispute is appointment of Lambardar. Respondent No. 4 was appointed Lambardar. The appellant failed in challenge to the same before the District Collector, Commissioner, Financial Commissioner and the learned Single Judge.

To point out the perversity, learned counsel for the appellant submitted that it was found as a fact that no land of respondent No. 4 was ever acquired for Ropar bye-pass and National Highway No. 1, though it was recorded as one of the factors in favour of respondent No. 4. However, we do not find any merit in this argument. The claim of the appellant is primarily based on inheritance. All the authorities compared the

comparative merit and found respondent No. 4 to be more meritorious. He is an income-tax payee as well. Once the issue has already been examined at four stages and there being no grave error or perversity pointed out, we do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed. Consequently, the applications for condonation of delay in filing and re-filing thereof are also dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(B. S. Walia)
Judge

12.3.2018
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No