

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No. 111 of 2018 (O&M) in
CWP No. 29705 of 2017
Date of decision: 22.2.2018

Sunil Sharma

.. Appellant

v.

The Presiding Officer and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singal, Advocate for the appellant.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

The order passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition, while upholding the award of the Labour Court, has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

The appellant claimed that he had worked as Chowkidar with respondent No. 2 from 19.1.2014 to 28.10.2014. As there was violation of the provisions of Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, he was required to be taken back in service.

It has been recorded by the learned Single Judge, while referring to the award of the Labour Court, that the appellant was a back door entrant. He was employed from Member of Parliament quota without facing any process of recruitment or sponsored by employment exchange.

Such an entrant in the job does not deserve to be granted any other benefit.

There is no error in the order passed by the learned Single Judge.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(B. S. Walia)
Judge

22.2.2018
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No