

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

LPA No.2361 of 2017

Date of decision: August 16, 2018

Mukesh Kumar

..... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate for the appellant.
Ms. Kriti Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. H.N. Mehtani, Advocate and
Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.3.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (ORAL)

The present appeal is directed against the judgment of the learned Single Judge dated 30.11.2017. As a participant in the process of selection of Assistant Professor (Economics), the incumbents were subjected to written test. After result, the appellant raised as many as eight objections to the questions and the answer key. The Expert Committee set up examined all the objections including the questions for which representations were made by others also, in addition to the ones by the appellant and 14 questions were deleted.

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that questions No.83 and 85 were also wrongly worded.

Learned Single Judge went through both the questions and leaned towards the report of the Expert Committee.

We would do the same accordingly, for the reason that the Expert Committee has deleted 14 questions and reliance by the appellant on some other reading material would not be a ground for intervention by this Court, which in any case has to be minimal in such like matters. We have also noticed that selection is complete with the selected candidates having joined.

Learned counsel for the appellants has relied upon the order of a Coordinate Bench passed in LPA No.191 of 2018 (O&M) in CWP No.256 of 2018, titled as **Sanjay Sharma and others Vs. State of Haryana and another**, decided on 16.02.2018, where another Expert Committee was asked to go into the questions that were pointed out in those proceedings.

We have perused the same and are of the opinion that the order of this kind relied upon is not a binding precedent. Consequently, the appeal is hereby dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

August 16, 2018

jt

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No