

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No. 2350 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No. 25215 of 2012
Date of decision: 18.1.2018

UCO Bank

.. Appellant

v.

Kuldeep Singh and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Vinod K. Kataria, Advocate for the appellant.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

The order passed by the learned Single Judge directing promotion of the respondent-writ petitioner from the date his junior-Sukhdev Singh was promoted, has been impugned in the present intra-court appeal.

The submission is that Sukhdev Singh was promoted in view of the judgment and decree of the Civil Court, which was upheld upto Hon'ble the Supreme Court. The case has to be considered in terms of the policy of the bank regarding promotion. It was further submitted that on account of a forged Matriculation certificate furnished by respondent-Kuldeep Singh, he was placed under suspension. FIR No. 259 dated 5.10.2012, under Sections 420/467/468/120-B IPC was got registered against him and even departmental enquiry is also in progress.

After hearing learned counsel for the appellant, we do not find any reason to interfere in the impugned order passed by the learned Single Judge. Once it was found that a person junior to the respondent-writ petitioner was promoted, his case was required to be considered from that date. However, if there is any other reason to deny him promotion from that date, the appellant may consider.

Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(B. S. Walia)
Judge

18.1.2018
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No