

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-2310-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 04.12.2018

Shikha and others
Versus
...Appellants

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

LPA-693-2018 (O&M)

Sumit
Versus
...Appellant

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate,
for the appellants in LPA-2310-2017.

Mr. Puneet Bali, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate,
and Mr. Paramveer Singh, Advocate,
for the appellant in LPA-693-2018,
and for respondent No. 30 in LPA-2310-2017.

Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana,
with Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana,
and Ms. Shruti Jain, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana,
for respondents No. 1 and 2 in LPAs-2310-2017 & 693-2018.

Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No. 3 in LPAs-2310-2017 & 693-2018.

Mr. Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Animesh Sharma, Advocate,
for respondents No. 5 to 13, 19, 20, 23 & 26,
in LPAs-2310-2017 & 693-2018.

Mr. Satnam Sishodia, Advocate,
for respondents No. 14, 15, 18 & 21 in LPA-2310-2017.

Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate,
for respondent No. 22 in LPAs-2310-2017 & 693-2018.

Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate,
for respondents No. 24 & 33 in LPA-2310-2017.

Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate,
for respondents No. 27 & 28 in LPAs-2310-2017 & 693-2018.

Mr. Dharam Pal Balda, Advocate,
for respondents No. 32 to 39, 43 & 44 in LPA-2310-2017.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the applicant(s) in CM-4821-LPA-2018 in LPA-2310-2017.

* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

The petitioners-respondents approached this Court seeking quashing of the final result for the post of Upper Division Clerk (UDC) conducted by Haryana Staff Selection Commission in pursuant to Advertisement No. 3/2016. The basic ground of challenge was that two merit lists had been prepared under the heads of two different Corporations, namely, Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited (UHBVNL) and Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited (DHBVNL). Learned Single Judge vide judgement and order dated 21.11.2017 allowed the writ petition being CWP-6454-2017 and other connected cases and the result dated 20.03.2017 pertaining to 230 posts of DHBVNL was quashed and the respondent-Commission was directed to re-advertise 230 posts and to take further steps for fresh recruitment process. The selected candidates for DHBVNL, who were not impleaded as respondents in the writ petitions, have filed LPA-693-2018. LPA-2310-2017 has been filed by similarly situated candidates. The candidates selected for UHBVNL have made an application for being impleaded as party to the proceedings on the allegation that selection for the said Corporation was never under challenge.

2. The matter has been heard on various occasions and ultimately learned Advocate General, Haryana has come up with a suggestion that in both the Corporations total 429 candidates

have been found eligible as against 447 posts and these candidates will be considered for appointment as per qualification prescribed in the statutory rules governing the services in UHBVNL and DHBVNL.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the selected candidates as well as the original petitioner(s) have no objection to the aforesaid proposal made by learned Advocate General, Haryana.

4. In view of the agreement between the parties, we dispose of both the appeals in terms of the statement of learned Advocate General, Haryana recorded hereinabove and the impugned judgement of the learned Single Judge stands modified to that extent.

5. We are sanguine that the dispute having come to an end, the respondents shall proceed to do the needful for making final appointments as expeditiously as possible.

6. Since the main appeals itself stand disposed of, all the miscellaneous applications also stand disposed of.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

04.12.2018
Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No