

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*\*

**LPA No. 2277 of 2017 (O & M)**  
**Date of Decision: 25.9.2018**

**Rajeev Kumar**

**.....Appellant**

**v.**

**State of Haryana and others**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM    HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present:-    Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate, for the appellant  
                 Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. AG, Haryana  
                 Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No. 3

---

**MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral) :**

The impugned order before us was passed on a concession made by the learned Advocate General and accepted by the appellant, who was present before the learned Single Judge to offer no dissent.

In appeal, we therefore, do not find any reason to interfere being a correctional jurisdiction. If the appellant wanted to wriggle out of the commitment, it was open to him to approach the learned Single Judge. As the present appeal is totally misconceived and is therefore, dismissed.

The appellant, if so advised, may take recourse to his alternate remedies available to him in law.

**(MAHESH GROVER)**  
**JUDGE**

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

**25.9.2018**

*Ashwani*

Speaking/Reasoned  
Reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No