

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.1778 of 2016

Date of Decision: 16.01.2018

Jaipal

...Appellant

VERSUS

Rattni Devi

..Respondent

BEFORE : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present : Mr.Raj Kumar Kakkar, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr.Umesh Kumar Kanwar, Advocate, for the respondent.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent has placed on record the certified copy of the order dated 22.12.2016 whereby statement had been made by judgment-debtor i.e. present appellant Jaipal that execution application may be dismissed as having been fully satisfied. He had relinquished the vacant possession of the shop in question to the decree holder Smt.Rattni Devi-respondent in the present case, as per judgment and decree dated 30.08.2014 and he has no concern or connection of the shop in question and similar statement made by decree-holder after taking the possession. The aforesaid certified copy is taken on record as Annexure A-1.

In view of the above, the present regular second appeal is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous subject to verification to be done by learned counsel for the appellant.

16.01.2018

anil

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**