

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

LPA-2191-2017

Date of decision: - 28.08.2018.

Ajay Kumar

...Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate, for the appellant.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. H.N. Mehtani, Advocate
and Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate, for HPSC.

Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate
for Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for respondents No.5 and 9 to 12.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (ORAL)

Present Letter Patent Appeal has been filed against the judgment of learned Single Judge dated 31.10.2017.

The appellant participated in the selection process which involved an appraisal on the basis of objective type test. One of the subject was zoology and hundred questions were required to be answered. After the examination the Commission received several objections. The questions were referred to the Committee of the Experts drawn from various parts outside the State, who while looking into all the hundred questions gave their opinion by way of a report which has been produced

by learned counsel for HPSC today during the course of hearing in a sealed cover.

Same has been opened and perused.

Before the learned Single Judge the dispute was raised about one question, which had also been considered by the Committee. Learned Single Judge concluded that in view of the report of Experts Committee, this Court would have no further role to play and declined interference.

We would do like wise considering that the Committee of Experts drawn from various parts of the Country were assigned the task of looking into the questions and having done so, we would not substitute our opinion particularly when we do not find anything wrong in the questions pointed out by the petitioner as being in error. It has also been brought to our notice that pursuant to the selection process, the selected candidates have joined.

We are thus of the opinion that the issue deserves a quietus without any intervention in the impugned order. Consequently, the present appeal is dismissed.

Report of the Experts Committee produced in sealed cover has been returned back to the Learned counsel for HPSC.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

August 28, 2018
naresh.k

Whether reportable?	No
Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes