

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No.2143 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No.10235 of 1999

Date of decision: 15.02.2018

Mam Raj Singh and others

..... Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present:- Mr. Rajinder Kumar Uppal, Advocate
for the appellants.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

This order will dispose of three appeals bearing LPA Nos.2143, 2169 and 2170 of 2017 which have arisen from a common judgment passed by the learned Single Judge. However, the facts have been extracted from LPA No.2143 of 2017.

Order passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition has been impugned in the present intra-Court appeal. The plea sought to be raised by the appellants is that they were compelled to accept the voluntary retirement scheme. Nothing was produced on record in support thereof. The scheme was evolved by Management on 25.02.1995 in pursuance of which the applications were submitted by the appellants which were accepted on 08.03.1995. There was no compulsion and the workers were not bound to accept the offer made by the Management for seeking voluntary retirement. All the benefits envisaged as per the Scheme

were paid to the appellants.

In view of the aforesaid facts being there, we do not find any error in the order passed by the learned Single Judge.

The appeals are dismissed accordingly. Consequently, the application for condonation of delay in re-filing the appeal bearing LPA No.2169 of 2017 is also dismissed.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

15.02.2018
Dinesh Bansal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No