

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.2142 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No.17224 of 2017
Date of Decision:- 19.01.2018.

Balbir Singh

...Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA**

Present:- Mr. Balbir Singh Saini, Advocate
for the appellant.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Order passed by the learned Single Judge, denying arrears of pensionary benefits from the date, the appellant was promoted as E/ASI on 19.04.2004, has been challenged in the present intra-court appeal.

2. The pensionary benefits are sought to be claimed on the ground that the petitioner was given designation as ASI (E/ASI) on 19.04.2004 and he stood retired from service on 31.10.2004, therefore, he should be treated to be promoted on that post. Learned Single Judge in the impugned order has recorded that Inspector General of Police in the promotion order dated 19.04.2004 of the appellant, has specifically recorded that the same was purely non cadre promotion and personal in his own pay scale. Writ petition was filed more than a decade of retirement of the appellant.

3. Therefore, we do not find any error in the order passed by the

learned Single Judge.
4. Dismissed

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

19.01.2018
rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking /reasoned :

Whether Reportable :

Yes / No

Yes / No