

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

-.-

**L.P.A No. 2097 of 2017 (O&M) in
C.W.P No. 8930 of 2015
Date of Decision: 28.03.2018**

Mukesh Jain

..... Appellant

Versus

State Information Commission, Haryana & Ors.

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present:- Ms. Bhupinder Kaur, Advocate, for the appellant.

Rajesh Bindal, J

Order dated 20.07.2017 passed by learned Single Judge, dismissing the writ petition has been impugned by filing the present intra-Court appeal. An application seeking condonation of delay of 51 days in filing thereof has also been filed.

The appellant sought information under the Right to Information Act 2005, which was supplied to him though there was some delay. Initially proceedings for penal action were initiated, however, finding the cause submitted by the officer concerned to be sufficient, those were withdrawn vide order dated 05.11.2014.

The aforesaid order was impugned by filing the writ petition which was dismissed. Learned Single Judge has appreciated the issue that the information sought for by the appellant having been supplied and the State Information Commissioner having found reason for delay to be sufficient, no interference by this Court was called for.

As appellant had been provided information as prayed for, we do not find any reason to interfere with the order passed by learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition, while upholding the order of the State Information Commissioner dropping the penal proceedings.

Appeal being without any merit is dismissed. Consequently, the application for condonation of delay in filing the appeal is also dismissed.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

March 28, 2018
tripti

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No