

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No.2055 of 2017 (O&M)
in CWP No.16736 of 2015
Date of Decision:06.02.2018**

Sukhinder Kaur

...Appellant

Versus

Arvinder Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.A.S.Narang, Advocate for the appellant.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

Order passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby different orders passed by the revenue authorities with reference to partition of the land were set aside, has been impugned in the present intra-court appeal.

The facts, which are not in dispute, are that the total area for which partition was sought by the appellant is 64 Bighas and 14.5 Biswas. The entire land falls within the Municipal limits of Patiala. Except 8 Bighas of land which according to the learned counsel for the appellant is presently being used for agricultural purposes, on rest of the land plots have been carved out and construction has been raised.

Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, there is no error in the order passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby the orders passed by the revenue authorities with reference to partition proceedings of

the land have been set aside and liberty has been granted to the parties to seek partition of the land by filing a civil suit.

Accordingly, the appeal has no merit and the same is dismissed. Consequently, the application for seeking condonation of 30 days' delay in refiling the appeal is also dismissed.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

06.02.2018
mks

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No