

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.2047 of 2017 (O&M)
In CWP No.17135 of 2017
Date of decision : 15.02.2018

Daya Wanti

...Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Mr. Jayoti Parshad Sharma, Advocate for the appellant.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

The order passed by the learned Single Judge dated 27.09.2017, dismissing the writ petition has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

Challenge in the writ petition was made to the *Sanad Taqsim* dated 31.03.2011 and the *Tarmimi Sanad Taqsim* dated 14.07.2011.

It is not in dispute that the petitioner had earlier filed CWP No.19684 of 2012 challenging the same order and the writ petition was disposed of on 09.05.2013 finding that the appellant herein had an alternative remedy to approach the Financial Commissioner. Even the time was also granted as there was already delay in availing appropriate remedy. Admittedly, the appellant kept quiet for more than five years and thought of filing the writ petition challenging the same orders again merely referring to

amendment carried out in the Punjab Land Revenue Act in the year 2017.

The same will not give any fresh cause of action to the appellant to impugn the orders passed earlier which attained finality.

There is no error in the order passed by the learned Single Judge.

Letters Patent Appeal is accordingly, dismissed.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

15.02.2018

Pawan

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- **Yes/No**

Whether reportable:- **Yes/No**