

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

106 (3 cases)

Date of decision:1.11.2018

1. RSA No.159 of 2016 (O&M)

Megh Singh and another

... Appellants

vs

Jagjit Singh and another

... Respondents

2. CWP No.11886 of 2016 (O&M)

Shinder Pal Singh

... Petitioner

vs

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

3. CWP No.22210 of 2014 (O&M)

Shinder Pal Singh and another

... Petitioners

vs

Financial Commissioner (Revenue) Punjab and others

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Ajaivir Singh, Advocate,
for the appellants (in RSA No.159 of 2016), and
for the petitioners in CWP No.22210 of 2014
Mohd.Yousaf, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CWP No.11886 of 2016
Mr.B.S.Bedi, Advocate,
for the respondents in RSA No.159 of 2016,
for respondent no.4 in CWP No.11886 of 2016 and
respondent no.5 in CWP No.22210 of 2014
Mr.B.S.Seemer, Advocate,
for respondents no.5(a) to 5(c) in
CWP No.11886 of 2016 and
for respondents no.6 to in in CWP No.22210 of 2014
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

CM No.13606-C of 2017 in RSA No.159 of 2016

Though vide an order dated 7.3.2018 this application seeking

advancement of the date of hearing in the accompanying appeal had been ordered to be listed on 8.9.2018 and on that date the turn not having come up, it was adjourned to 6.3.2019, the date of hearing was actually not advanced as regards hearing of the accompanying appeal.

Learned counsel for the parties having stated that the matter has been actually compromised, the application is allowed and the date of hearing in the accompanying appeal is advanced to today itself alongwith the writ petitions ordered to be listed.

CM No.16443-C of 2018 in RSA No.159 of 2016,

CM No.16310 of 2018 in CWP No. 11886 of 2016 and

CM No.16309 of 2018 in CWP No.22210 of 2014

By these applications, the accompanying matters are sought to be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the dispute between the parties in all these matters has been amicably resolved, thereby rendering the appeal as also the writ petitions infructuous.

Resolution of the dispute is in terms of a compromise stated to have been arrived at, in terms of the order of the learned National Lok Adalat, Malerkotla, dated 8.9.2018, a copy of which has been annexed with CM No.16443-C of 2018 in RSA No.159 of 2016, CM No.16310 of 2018 in CWP No.11886 of 2016 and CM No.16309 of 2018 in CWP No.22210 of 2014.

Disposed of in terms of the compromise.

The parties are naturally held bound to their statements made before the National Lok Adalat, Malerkotla.

A photocopy of this order be also placed on the files of the

other connected matters.

1.11.2018
pk/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No