

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No.1941 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No.4406 of 1993
Date of Decision : 24.08.2018**

Bal Kishan Advocate

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present : Mr. Karamveer S. Banyana, Advocate
for the appellant.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

Order passed by the Ld. Single Judge dated 01.06.2017 dismissing the writ petition has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

In the writ petition, the petitioner had challenged the selection of Assistant District Attorneys for which closing date for the advertisement issued, was 31.07.1991. The ground raised was that the advertisement was issued for 19 posts whereas the appointment was made of 87 candidates.

It is not in dispute that the appellant was not amongst 87 candidates who were recommended for appointment.

Ld. counsel for the appellant further fairly submitted that the same selection was the subject matter of challenge in this Court vide

'CWP No.4355 of 1992 titled as Surinder Singh vs. State of Haryana'

which was partly allowed by this Court on September 29, 1997 maintaining the appointment of 47 persons, quashing the appointment of persons who appointed beyond that.

As the same selection has already been considered by this Court and admittedly the appellant was not within the candidates whose appointment was upheld by this Court in *Surinder Singh's case* (supra), we do not find any merit in the present appeal. The appeal stands dismissed. Accordingly, the accompanying application seeking condonation of delay in refiling the appeal is also dismissed.

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**

**August 24, 2018
Dpr**

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No