

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1878 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No.15525 of 2013
Date of Decision:- 14.03.2018.

Jaswant Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court, Sector 18-A,
Chandigarh and others.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA**

Present:- Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Gagandeep Singh Virk, Advocate
for the appellant.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Order dated 20.07.2017, passed by the learned Single Judge, has been challenged by filing the present intra-court appeal.

2. The writ petition filed by the appellant was partially allowed. Compensation of ₹1 Lakh was directed to be paid to the appellant-workman. The stand of the appellant is that he had worked from 07.02.1991 to 16.10.1991, whereas the stand of the management was that the appellant-workman had worked only upto 30.09.1991, hence had not completed 240 days. In the absence of any clinching evidence on record, however, keeping in view the totality of circumstances, as there had been earlier round of litigation as well, the learned Single Judge moulded the relief and disposed of the writ petition by compensation of ₹1 Lakh to the appellant.

LPA No.1878 of 2017 (O&M) in /2/
CWP No.15525 of 2013

3. We do not find any error in the order passed by the learned Single Judge. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

14.03.2018.
rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking /reasoned : Yes / No
Whether Reportable : Yes / No