

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1858 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No.21701 of 2010
Date of Decision:- 08.03.2018.

Ajmer Kaur and others

...Appellants

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA**

Present:- Mr. K.S. Jetley, Advocate
for the appellants.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Order dated 14.07.2017, passed by the learned Single Judge, has been impugned, by filing the present intra-court appeal.

2. The deceased-workman in the present case claimed that he worked from 22.06.1987 till 09.08.1989. Unfortunately, during the pendency of the proceedings, he expired. The learned Single Judge while holding that claim of reinstatement is not possible, awarded compensation of ₹1.5 Lakhs to the appellants.

3. Hence, we do not find any error in the order passed by the learned Single Judge, as far as the claim of the workman for reinstatement is concerned, as he has expired. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed. Award of compensation also does not deserve interference in appeal filed by the appellants. However,

LPA No.1858 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No.21701 of 2010

/2/

dismissal of the present appeal will not affect the merits of appeal, if any, filed by the respondents, against the impugned order.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

08.03.2018.
rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking /reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes / No