

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

LPA No.1722 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 25.09.2018

Jagdish Chander Wadhwa

....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr.Hemender Goswami, Advocate for the appellant.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

The limited grievance raised by the appellant while impugning the judgment of the learned Single Judge is that he ought to have been paid interest on the delayed release of gratuity.

The learned Single Judge has noticed that disciplinary proceedings were pending against the appellant when he retired. It is not in dispute that the appellant has suffered a punishment which order he did not challenge. In this view of the matter when there was a justification with the respondents to withhold the gratuity in view of the disciplinary proceedings, the appellant cannot sustain his plea of grant of interest which would be available to him only if the respondents had withheld the amount with no justification.

We do not find any ground to interfere.

Dismissed.

However, delay of 7 days in filing the appeal is hereby condoned.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

25.09.2018
dss

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No