

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 1696 of 2017 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 25.9.2018

Renu Sharma

.....Appellant

v.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate, for the appellant
 Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. AG, Haryana
 Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No. 3

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral) :

The impugned order before us was passed on a concession made by the learned Advocate General and accepted by the appellant, who was present before the learned Single Judge to offer no dissent.

In appeal, we therefore, do not find any reason to interfere being a correctional jurisdiction. If the appellant wanted to wriggle out of the commitment, it was open to him to approach the learned Single Judge. As the present appeal is totally misconceived and is therefore, dismissed.

The appellant, if so advised, may take recourse to his alternate remedies available to him in law.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

25.9.2018

Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned
Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No