

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 1657 of 2017 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 4.9.2018

Rubia Chauhan and others

.....Appellants

v.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present:- Mr. R.D. Anand, Advocate, for the appellants
 Mr. Samarth Sagar, Addl. AG, Haryana
 Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No. 3
 Mr. H.N. Mehtani, Advocate

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral) :

The impugned order before us was passed on a concession made by the learned Advocate General and accepted by the appellants, all of whom were present before the learned Single Judge to offer no dissent.

In appeal, we therefore, do not find any reason to interfere being a correctional jurisdiction. If the appellants wanted to wriggle out of the commitment, it was open to them to approach the learned Single Judge. As the present appeal is totally misconceived and is therefore, dismissed.

The appellants, if so advised, may take recourse to their alternate remedies available to them in law.

**(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE**

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

4.9.2018

Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned
Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No