

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No.1613 of 2017 (O&M)
In CWP No.15314 of 2017
Date of decision : 27.03.2018

Charanjit Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Financial Commissioner Revenue (Appeals-I), Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate for the appellant.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

The order passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition filed by the appellant challenging the appointment to the post of Lambardar, has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

The Collector did not recommend the appointment of the appellant as Lambardar. Order of the appointment of respondent No.4 herein as Lambardar was upheld by the Commissioner as well as by the Financial Commissioner. The appellant failed even before the learned Single Judge. The issue sought to be raised is with reference to comparative merit of the candidates, which cannot be examined at the stage of Letters Patent Appeal. There is no irregularity or illegality pointed out in the process of appointment of Lambardar. The Departmental Authorities are the best persons to choose any person, who according to them, can discharge

the responsibilities of Lambardar better. The appellant in the present case is already working as Guard in State Bank of Patiala which is stated to be 9 KM from the Village, hence, he would not be available to discharge the duties on regular basis.

We do not find that there is any error in the order passed by the learned Single Judge.

The present appeal is dismissed.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

27.03.2018

Pawan

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- **Yes/No**

Whether reportable:- **Yes/No**