

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No. 1533 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No. 9402 of 2016
Date of decision: 9.1.2018

Bank of India

.. Appellant

v.

Neeru Duhan and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Kamal Preet Singh Dhillon, Advocate for the appellant.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that in the appellant-bank, no candidate lower in merit than respondent No. 1 has been appointed. Even in the impugned order passed by the learned Single Judge, it has been recorded that the petitioner therein is higher in merit than respondent No. 4 therein, who has been appointed in Bank of Baroda, however, direction has been given to all the official respondents to comply with the order.

If that is the only issue, let the appellant move appropriate application before the learned Single Judge to seek clarification as to in which bank, according to merit of respondent No. 1, she is entitled to the appointment on account of her being meritorious than the last selected candidate.

The appeal is disposed of, accordingly. Consequently, the accompanying applications are also disposed of.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(B. S. Walia)
Judge

9.1.2018
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No