

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 102

LPA No.1175 of 2017 (O&M)

in CWP No. 13224 of 2016

Date of decision : 07.05.2018

Satnam Singh

..... Appellant

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. R.K.Arya, Advocate, for the appellant.

. . .

RAJESH BINDAL, J:

Order dated 07.10.2016, passed by the learned Single Judge, dismissing the writ petition, challenging order dated 19.10.2015, imposing punishment of forfeiture of two years' service and the appellate order dated 23.12.2015, has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

The only ground sought to be raised in the present appeal is that the earlier punishment inflicted on the appellant was not confronted to him while issuing show cause notice for imposing penalty of forfeiture of two years' service.

The learned authorities below and the learned Single Judge have recorded that on earlier occasion the appellant remained absent from duty for 362 days which was treated as non-duty period and punishment of censure was also awarded to him on four occasions.

The aforesaid facts have not been disputed.

Once despite the earlier punishments the appellant has not mended his way of working, there is no flaw in the order of punishment imposed after following due process.

We do not find any error of law in the order passed by the learned Single Judge while upholding the order passed by the authorities below.

The appeal is accordingly, dismissed. Consequently, the application for condonation of delay in filing the appeal is also dismissed.

[RAJESH BINDAL]
JUDGE

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

07.05.2018
shamsher

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No