

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
CM No. 2375 of 2017 in/and
LPA No. 1133 of 2017 (O&M) in
CWP No. 21336 of 2012
Date of decision : January 23, 2018

Balwan Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

**The Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Hissar
and another**

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. S. WALIA**

Present:- Mr. S. K. Verma, Advocate
for the appellant.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

The order passed by the learned Single Judge modifying the award of the Labour Court to the extent that the compensation has been enhanced from ₹10,000/- to ₹ One lac has been impugned by the workman by filing the present intra court appeal.

The appellant had claimed that he worked from 16.1.2007 to 16.10.2007 and thereafter his services were terminated. Demand notice was issued by him more than two years thereafter.

The appeal is accompanied by an application seeking condonation of 766 days in filing thereof.

The only ground mentioned is that the applicant-appellant is a poor person and could not arrange funds for filing appeal.

Now some of the relative came to his rescue. No details are forth coming.

State Legal Services Authority is meant only to help the litigants who cannot afford litigation. If the applicant-appellant did not have resources to file the appeal he could very well approach the authority. Nothing was done even at the stage of filing of the writ petition. The applicant-appellant had engaged a private counsel before the Single Bench.

We do not find any justifiable reason to condone delay of 766 days in filing the appeal. The application for condonation of delay is dismissed. Consequently, the appeal is also dismissed.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(B. S. WALIA)
JUDGE

January 23, 2018
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No