

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No. 1126 of 2017 (O&M) in
COCP No. 1113 of 2016
Date of decision: 21.2.2018

Arjun Singh and others

.. Appellants

v.

Sh. Priyank Bharti

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. Amit Mehta, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

The order passed by the learned Single Judge in contempt jurisdiction, whereby the contempt petition filed by the appellants has been dismissed, has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

While dealing with the contempt petition filed by the appellants, this Court was exercising jurisdiction under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 (for short, 'the Act'). Section 19 thereof provides that an appeal lies only against an order of punishment in contempt jurisdiction. In the case in hand, the contempt petition filed by the appellants was dismissed. Once there is specific bar in filing of appeal under the Act, the

order cannot be challenged by filing the Letters Patent Appeal.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(B. S. Walia)
Judge

21.2.2018
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No