

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

ITA-35-2017

Date of Decision: 26.7.2018

Principal Commissioner of Income Tax (Central), Gurgaon
...Appellant.

Versus

Smt. Madhu Mangal
...Respondent.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.**

PRESENT: Mr. Rajesh Sethi, Sr. Standing Counsel with
Ms. Pridhi Jaswinder Sandhu, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. B.M. Monga, Advocate and
Mr. Rohit Kaura, Advocate for the respondent.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

1. Learned counsel for the appellant-revenue states that since the tax effect involved is ₹ 25,61,840/-, he has instructions to withdraw the present appeal in view of Circular No.03/2018, dated 11th July, 2018, issued by the Central Board of Direct Taxes, New Delhi. However, he has prayed that liberty be granted to the revenue to file an application for revival of the appeal in case something survives therein.

2. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. It is, however, clarified that withdrawal of the appeal by the revenue shall not be taken to be affirmation of order of the Tribunal on merits. Further, the legal issue as claimed by the revenue is being left open to be adjudicated in an appropriate case.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

July 26, 2018
gbs

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

**Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**