

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

**CM-6740-CII-2017 with
FAO-M-88 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 8.2.2018**

Rajwinder Singh

... Appellant

Versus

Kawaljit Kaur

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate, for the respondent.

M.M.S. BEDI, J.

Reply to the application for condonation of delay has been filed pleading therein that the appellant had the knowledge of the decree of divorce granted by the lower Court on 1.4.2016. It is averred that after the decree of divorce, certified copy of the judgment was delivered to the appellant on 31.1.2017 but even thereafter the appeal was filed on 23.3.2017 which is a period of two months after the receipt of certified copy of the judgment. Each day's delay has not been explained. An additional fact has been mentioned in the reply to the application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act that after the expiry of limitation, she got remarried on 3.2.2017 with one Badal and the marriage certificate issued by the Registrar, Amritsar too has been placed on record.

Case called twice. No one has put in appearance on behalf of

the appellant.

The appeal and the application for condonation of delay is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

February 8, 2018

mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No