

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.616 of 2018

Date of Decision:10.05.2018

Parminder Kaur @ Parvinder Kaur and anr.Appellants

Vs.

Parveen @ Kaushal Kumar and OthersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Parampreet Singh Paul, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr.Vinod Gupta, Advocate, for the respondent/Insurance Co.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

This appeal has been filed by the claimants-appellants seeking enhancement of compensation awarded by Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Rupnagar (hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal') vide vide which compensation to the tune of Rs.3,25,000/- was awarded to the claimants on account of death of minor Abhishek in a motor vehicular accident.

Brief facts of the case are that on 13.12.2015 at about 9:40 am, Abhishek was coming after purchasing the pencil from shop. He was standing for crossing the road before his house on Kacha Path along with road. At that time one without number motorcycle came from Nangran side which was driven by the respondent No.1 in a rash and negligent manner without blowing any horn and struck the deceased Abhishek who was standing at the side of the road. After hitting by the motorcycle, the deceased fell down on the road and received grievous injuries on his head. He suffered bleeding from his nose and died on the spot in the presence of witnesses. A case bearing FIR No.152 dated 13.12.2015 registered at

Feeling dissatisfied with the impugned award, the claimants/appellant have preferred the present appeal.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case file. The fact of accident is admitted and proved. The deceased died as a result of the accident. Reference can be made to a judgment of a Co-ordinate Bench of this court in the case of **Nachhattar Singh and another Vs. Jagga Singh and others, 2016(2)PLR 718**, wherein annual income of the deceased boy, who was 15 years old at the time of the accident, which took place in the year 1999, was assessed as Rs.35,000/- per annum.

Reference can also be made to a latest judgment of this Court in the case of **Beet Nath and another Vs. Gulab Singh and others (FAO No.159 of 2015)** decided on **July 10, 2017**, wherein the notional income of the child who died in an accident which took place in the year 2012 was taken as Rs.50,000/-. It was observed in that case that in the case of **Kishan Gopal and another vs. Lala Ram and others, 2013(4) RCR (Civil) 276**, wherein the national income of a 10 years old child was taken as Rs.30,000/- the year of the accident was 1992. But in that case, the accident had taken place in the year 2014 and the age of the deceased at the time of the accident was 8 years. Since the value of rupee has come down drastically since the year 1992, the notional income in **Beet Nath's case (supra)** was taken as Rs.50,000/-.

The judgment in the case of **Beet Nath's case (supra)** is directly applicable to the facts and circumstances of the present case. In this case also notional income of the child is taken as Rs.50,000/-. After applying a multiplier of 18 in view of the ratio of the judgment in the case of **Sarla**

6 SCC 121, the notional annual income of the deceased comes to Rs.9,00,000/-. Further, an amount of Rs.15,000/- is awarded under conventional heads. Thus the compensation allowed to the appellants/claimants is enhanced from Rs.3,25,000/- to Rs.9,15,000/-. The enhanced amount of compensation i.e. Rs.5,90,000/- shall be payable within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The enhanced amount of compensation shall carry interest @ 7.5% per annum from the date of filing of the claim petition, till its realization, in view of the judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in the case of "***Shri Nagar Mal and others vs. The Oriental Insurance Company Ltd. and others***", Civil Appeal No.448 of 2018 (decided on 19.01.2018). Remaining conditions of disbursal of amount shall remain unaltered.

Accordingly, the award stands modified to the above extent and the present appeal is partly allowed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

10.05.2018

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No