

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

229

FAO-86 of 2017

Date of Decision:- 2.2.2018

Vijender

... Appellant

Versus

Babita

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Sanawar Ali, Advocate, for
Mr. Manoj K. Tanwar, Advocate, for the respondent.

M.M.S. BEDI, J.

Appellant-husband aggrieved by the judgment and decree dated 14.12.2016 dismissing his petition for divorce, had filed the present appeal.

Notice was issued to the respondent with a direction that the appellant would pay a sum of ₹20,000/- towards the litigation expenses to the respondent-wife vide order dated 31.1.2017. The appellant sought time to comply with the said direction on 19.7.2017, 11.10.2017, 20.11.2017 and 23.1.2018. The order has not been complied with till date. No body has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant today.

The appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution and for non-payment of the interim litigation expenses.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

February 2, 2018

mohan

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No