

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

RSA-166-2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.07.2018

PARVEEN

... Appellant

Versus

SOMBIR AND ORS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Ajit Sihag, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate for respondent No.1.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The present appeal directs challenge against concurrent findings recorded by the Courts whereby suit filed by Sombir respondent/plaintiff (stated to have attained majority by counsel for respondent No.1) was decreed by the trial Court and the judgment and decree passed by the trial Court were affirmed in appeal by the Additional District Judge, Bhiwani.

Counsel for the appellant and respondent No.1 are *ad idem* that dispute between the parties has been settled by way of compromise deed dated 25.06.2018 and in this regard affidavits have been furnished by Sombir and Sushila, son and daughter of Smt. Santro, originals whereof have been produced in Court and taken on record.

Counsel for the appellant would state that the appeal may be disposed of as per the settlement recorded in compromise deed dated 25.06.2018. The parties may be directed to remain bound by the terms and

conditions of the compromise.

Counsel for respondent No.1 has got no objection.

In view of the above, the appeal stands disposed in terms of the compromise effected between the parties. The judgments and decrees passed by the Courts shall be modified accordingly. The parties shall remain bound by the terms and conditions recorded in the compromise and affidavits filed by Sombir and Ms. Sushila, son and daughter of Smt. Santro.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

05.07.2018

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes / No

Whether reportable:

Yes / No