

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

107

FAO No.5102 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:30.11.2018

Dilbag and another

...Appellants

versus

Iffico Tokio Insurance Co. and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.J.S.Hooda, Advocate,
for the appellants.

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

On 30.10.2018, the following order had been passed:-

“On 13.08.2018, the following order had been passed:-

Learned counsel for the appellants would show as to how the driving licence stated to have been issued on 8.4.2009 by the Licensing Authority, Zunheboto, Nagaland, in favour of appellant no.2 is a valid licence in terms of Section 9 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, which stipulates that a driving licence shall be issued by the licensing authority under whose jurisdiction the applicant for the licence ordinary resides or carries on business, or where the school or establishment, referred to in Section 12 of the said Act, is situate, from where the applicant received instructions in driving the motor vehicle concerned.

Adjourned to 7.9.2018.”

On 07.09.2018, when none had appeared for the appellants, this Court had adjourned the matter for the last time till today.

Today, learned counsel appears and submits that the appellants would be moving an appropriate application to place on record documents to show that the driver of the vehicle had been authorized to carry on business in Nagaland, from where his driving licence was issued.

To enable learned counsel to file an appropriate application, adjourned to 30.11.2018.

It is made clear that if the said application, accompanied by proper documents, is not filed by the next date of hearing, this appeal shall be deemed to have been dismissed-in-default.”

The needful still not having been done, the said order would become operative. The appeal is dismissed in default.

30.11.2018
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No