

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-7238-2017 (O&M).
Decided on: July 16, 2018.

Pardeep Kumar

.. Appellant

VERSUS

Veena Rani

.. Respondent

* * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI
HON'BLE MR.ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

* * *

PRESENT Ms.Kamlesh, Advocate, for
Mr.Parvinder Singh, Advocate,
for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Report received that the parties could not arrive at any settlement. However, the respondent has received a sum of Rs.10,000/-.

The appellant-husband is aggrieved by the act of respondent-wife for opting not to make a statement at second motion stage in a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act.

It is pertinent to observe here that notice had been issued to the respondent-wife in order to enable the parties to arrive at some amicable settlement by mediation but mediation having failed, the appeal deserves to be dismissed as not maintainable.

The appeal is not maintainable, as such the same is dismissed without prejudice to the rights of the appellant to seek divorce by filing any petition in accordance with law before the appropriate forum.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

July 16, 2018.
raj arora

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No