

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

FAO No.281 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 17.01.2018

State of Punjab and others

..... Appellants

Versus

M/s Gammon India Limited and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the appellants.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This appeal has been filed against the order of the Additional District Judge, Hoshiarpur dismissing the application under Section 34 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') filed by the State of Punjab.

The dispute arose between the appellants and a contractor. The arbitrator was appointed as per the arbitration agreement who gave a reasoned award. The same was challenged under Section 34 of the Act. The court below first delineated the exact parameters of Section 34 of the Act and then dealt with the judgments rendered by different courts about the limited challenges available to an award of an arbitrator and first held that the grounds mentioned in Section 34 of the Act were not attracted to the

objections raised by the appellants and found that the arbitrator had discussed each of them.

Learned Deputy Advocate General has not been able to show how the order is vitiated in any manner.

Appeal is dismissed. No costs.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

17.01.2018

Pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No