

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 1959 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 29, 2018

Ved Parkash

..... Appellant

v.

Ram Pal and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Rakesh Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Rajan Bhargava, Advocate
for Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The matter had been kept pending for the service of respondent No.2. This appeal has been filed by the owner of the offending vehicle challenging the recovery rights granted to the Insurance Company and the unserved respondent No.2 is the driver of the offending vehicle. In the circumstances, service upon him is exempted.

Since the challenge in this appeal is only to the recovery rights granted to the Insurance Company, further reference to the detailed facts would not be necessary. I find that the basis of this finding was the deposition of RW1-Anwar Khan that the licence which was placed on record by the appellant and respondent No.2 was not issued by the RTO,

Murena as per the office record. Counsel for the appellant has not been able

to show any material which would indicate that in fact the licence was genuine in view of the statement of RW1. Consequently, this appeal is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

November 29, 2018

(AJAY TEWARI)

JUDGE

`kk'

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No