

504/2

FAO-514-2017 (O&M)

MANITA @ MANISHA VS MAHIPAL AND OTHERS

Present: Mr. Rao Ajender Singh, Advocate
 for the appellant

 Mr. Punit Jain, Advocate for
 Cholamandalam MS General Insurance Co. Ltd.

* * * *

Vide order dated 28.03.2018 on the basis of statement made by learned counsel for the appellant a proposal was made for enhancing compensation by ₹ 90,000/- over and above the amount already granted by the MACT concerned. Today, learned counsel for the Insurance Company has stated that the Insurance Company has agreed to enhance the compensation only by ₹ 80,000/- and not by ₹ 90,000/- and has signed to that effect. Learned counsel for the appellant has agreed to this counter-offer of the respondent-Insurance Company.

Accordingly, ₹ 80,000/- (Rs. Eighty Thousand only) is allowed as further compensation over and above the compensation already awarded by the MACT. As per the statement of learned counsel for the appellant the enhanced amount would be paid to Sh. Manoj Kumar s/o Sh. Ved Prakash, father of appellant-Manita @ Manisha, she being minor.

We dispose of this case with a direction to the Insurance Company to deposit a crossed-cheque in the sum of ₹ 80,000/- in the name of Sh. Manoj Kumar s/o Sh. Ved Prakash with the office of the Lok Adalat of the High Court on or before 30.07.2018 failing which the amount will carry 9% interest p.a. from the date of this order till the cheque is

deposited with the office of the Lok Adalat. The appellant's counsel/appellant may collect the cheque from the office of the Lok Adalat.

Copy of the order/statement be supplied/sent to the counsel/parties and file be returned to the High Court.

(Arvind Kumar)
President

(Darshan Singh)
Member

31.05.2018
Janki