

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 358 of 2016 (O&M)
In CWP No. 18145 of 2015

Date of decision: 17.5.2018

State of Punjab and others

.. Appellants

vs

Tajinder Singh and others

.. Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Deepak Sibal**

Present: Mr. Suveer Sheokand, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. R. S. Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent no. 1.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Order passed by the learned Single Judge vide which writ petition filed by respondent no.1 was allowed without issuing notice to the State has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal. Even the Review Application was also dismissed vide order dated 17.12.2015.

Learned counsel for the State submitted that the case of respondent no.1 was considered for promotion. However, as he was not having the requisite bench mark, he was not promoted.

Learned counsel for respondent no. 1 submitted that no order has been communicated to respondent no.1 as regards the rejection of his case for promotion on that ground. He submitted that respondent no.1 will be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the State to communicate to him the aforesaid order so that in case he has any grievance, he can avail of his remedy against that order.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, the present appeal is disposed of with a direction to the appellants to communicate the order passed rejecting respondent no.1's claim for promotion. In case respondent no. 1 is aggrieved of the order, he shall be at liberty to avail of appropriate remedy against that order.

The appeal stands disposed of.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

17.5.2018
vs

(Deepak Sibal)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No