

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 4138 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : August 07, 2018

Promila and others,

..... Appellants

v.

Satish and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Lalit Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

This appeal has been filed for enhancement of compensation. Since a very limited issue has been raised, detailed reference to the facts would not be necessary.

Counsel for the appellants states that nothing has been awarded under the head of future prospects. Counsel for respondent No.2 points out that under the conventional heads, there is such excess payment that in case the computation is made as per the decision of the Supreme Court in National Insurance Company Limited vs Pranay Sethi and others, 2017(4) RCR (Civil) 1009, it may end up that some amount has to be reduced. Counsel for the appellants denies this and states that in any case there would be only a marginal increase. He has also stated that the compensation under the conventional heads was excessive.

In these circumstances, it is clear that even if this appeal is decided on merits, there would be only a small difference in the compensation amount. Resultantly, this appeal is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

August 07, 2018
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No