

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA No. 168 of 2016 (O&M)
in CWP No. 21666 of 2011

Date of decision: 14.5.2018

The Executive Engineer

.. Appellant

vs

Dinesh Kumar and another

.. Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Deepak Sibal**

Present : Mr. Samarth Sagar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.
 Mr. Ramesh Goyat, Advocate, for respondent no. 1.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The order passed by the learned Single Judge dated 14.9.2015 upholding the award of the Labour Court has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

The Labour Court directed reinstatement of the workman back in service with 50% back wages.

It is not in dispute that the workman has been reinstated in service way back on 27.12.2011. Violation of Section 25-F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (for short, 'the Act'), was clearly established before the Labour Court. Findings have been upheld by the learned Single Judge.

Once the workman has already been reinstated back in service more than six years back and violation of Section 25-F of the Act was clearly established, we do not find any reason to interfere in the present appeal. The same is accordingly dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

14.5.2018
vs

(Deepak Sibal)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No