

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No.1234 of 2016 (O&M) IN
CWP No.25139 of 2013
Date of decision : 12.07.2018**

Amrik Singh

... Appellant

Versus

The Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Patiala and
others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

Order passed by learned Single Judge, modifying the award of the Industrial Tribunal, Patiala (for short 'the Tribunal'), vide which, in lieu of reinstatement, compensation of ₹ 1,25,000/- has been awarded as against ₹ 20,000/- awarded by the Tribunal, has been impugned in the present intra-court appeal.

The appellant, in the present case, claimed that he had worked as Chowkidar from 26.10.1995 till 30.04.1998. Demand notice was issued about 5 years thereafter. Considering period the appellant had served with the Department, we do not find that the amount of compensation awarded to him is on the lesser side.

In view of the facts as noticed above, there is no error in the

LPA No.1234 of 2016 (O&M)2

order passed by the learned Single Judge. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

12.07.2018
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

✓
Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/ No

✓
Whether Reportable Yes/ No